

Low  
Guss  
Marion  
myung  
Mal.  
Pam Hale  
Van Sant  
Wenent  
as George  
WA  
act

Not yet all  
Hale rpts to resolve ICA issue  
with Adam B. Lang + involving State vs ICA  
role

#

25X1A9a

Er Pen

Low: One principle underlying the ICA memo:  
is it agreed there is an appropriate  
role for WG III? I now think so as  
long as it is tailored to appropriate  
functions of EPAC. We must agree  
what EPAC should do + then see WG role.  
if I once said WG III should  
decide all respon., I recede.  
Rather if EPAC has a role, the  
WG is proper. There is some role,  
what remains to be determined

Geog: Er Pen prob has grown in imp. +  
size since 1st EPAC exploration ~~by~~  
having aside whether a different  
group should work on this. It seems  
there is a role + EPAC can make  
major contrib. in absence any other  
effective entity. Whether EPAC  
structure must constructive points,  
but this chiefly should include  
total analysis of the prob. Recommend  
action + also <sup>new</sup> policies + the

reference of the package to my body  
 that will listen. Maybe at the  
 last point we need further  
 directive. But let's do as  
much as good analysis as  
possible + point it to someone  
for top level action. E. Pen  
 can't now be separated from  
 US overall pol. and, etc.,  
 policy. The approach should  
 be of the magnitude of the Marshall  
 Plan.

Tom Agree in magnitude. But not  
 ask EPAC as tail to wag dog  
 of our foreign policy.  
 We recognized much of the prob.  
 was one for coordination within it.  
 We have sent upstairs in State  
 a memo with 2 recommendations:  
 (1) review of current policy & its  
 adequacy to meet the challenge  
 (2) select a more definitely  
 assigned person for collating  
 data on our efforts  
 If this done we could draw on those  
 efforts within State and add to  
 them or comment on them to direct  
 their use to special efforts

NB connection between US Pen  
+ export control program. Trouble  
that as Bloc efforts expand  
worldwide, how can they deliver  
the goods from our possibly  
slender resources. Maybe a  
connection between this + relaxed  
control which would add to  
possibility of FW procurement  
for use in FW. This connection  
should be explored.

You A connection. we can look at  
implications but doubt if we can  
use parts of this prob to  
extend control activities in a  
way policy directives would not  
permit.

You The specific recommendations

#1, Starting with premiere UG III  
has a role, it needs the  
info + should arrange get  
data.

If part of state should be  
charged with doing this, it could  
+ anyhow CIR is essentially  
doing this. Doubt we need  
to instruct further as to how

This is to come + with what frequency

Manin: Yes. I read you, anything (Kramer)  
OK doc.

For: We studies from other agencies  
WG could ask to refs that  
whenever compilations or studies  
are produced, either they  
get to Kramer for general  
circulation or to you for  
direct to WG.

Think should read "WG shall  
maintain arrangements for"

Geo: We get series of docs as  
revisions are made. Not satis-  
fied for current needs.

Mal: Agree; we need to get the  
info. Query on SIP making  
available in usable form  
for us.

For: This could be taken up  
by Mrs. Plan Daniel. But this  
can all be done under a general  
instruction.

NF

we have current info + want to  
 discern: day to day of Kable's movements,  
 who is?

Zou

If you have good info  
 discern to ERAC for directed  
 if you think it is of  
 interest to WC, send it to  
 Kramer for the WC.

Wul

What you're saying is a  
 gross oversimplification.  
 I emphasize this group's job is  
 to pull info together, see it  
 gets to right people in right  
 form. Seeing who needs  
 what is a job needed +  
 this WC can do it.

Geo:

Helpful to have clearer  
 understanding on various factors  
 we'd like to have info on re  
 say L.C. firm. situation. Do the  
 reports cover all points we  
 need to know. Maybe a form  
 to cover all info needed. Maybe  
 Research Group could work this out

Low As a later step group could determine suitability of its info getting procedures.  
Today we focus on implementing what to do + determine how, later

Geo: But don't ignore details

Low M: today we consider EDAE role + assignment to the group, not "how" to do. Considering "how we get info" today.

Wants to say "We will still arrange to get info"

Low

#2 I'm not sure how clear it is 112/13 puts person in E. W. of community. But proper for W. W. to review policy in view role EDAE

Geo

Can't before horse  
A consideration any EDAE change would come after we do job + find what's needed

Joe: There is an unmet, + a continuing  
prob. ~~at this time~~

If anyone is kept watch on advisory  
policy direction on EDA's role,  
we II should

Joe: But the priority issue is to do  
the job #3 better at. Let's get  
to work + see what we now know.

Russ: To do S.S. #12, we'd have to  
do #3.

Winnant: ~~Receiving~~ Receiving ok, but we can't  
"move"

Hall: We've been invited to recommend  
revision.

Joe: #2 might read

(1) "we II maintain under review  
(a) Nat'l policy directives which flow  
from

(1) ~~current review~~ in discharging (a) it  
present we II undertake following  
review: "now study current status" <sup>emphasize</sup>  
we ~~for action~~ (a) in '11 paper + '21 same  
as

In desccg of function decided  
in (a) above, which is to review:

I (same as ICA (a); omit SP # 12.

II (same as ICA (a); see other notes on ICA paper

If our memo in State is approved,  
The suitable threat for U.S. to do  
is await that study + take it  
from there. This will be done  
rather quickly + will have  
most of the info we'd need.

If this study is not  
recommended, we'd have see how  
update background info for work.

The study : only a broad  
recognition of challenge of sov  
efforts + the reaction needs.  
They plan to go State to do  
the study.



Tom: #3 & #4 follow me on issue: what can we do in practice?

Have to see what FDBE can do in this problem. maybe can suggest special measure in spec. intro. But the whole problem of 4) as a task is beyond our scope so dy.

Jim: This prob. as it came to us initially was modest. Now it's expanded to a major one. See my 1st comments. But I think we can become concerned about some cases to move them up <sup>rank</sup> - focus att'n on them.

Van Sant: Does this represent decreasing reliance group?

Jim: No; not in that context. Not now a job of estimating reliance but moving into action.

Tom: If these units tailored to looking at particular parts to see if ~~reliance~~ <sup>reliance</sup> + if as possible <sup>current</sup> ~~reliance~~ : 1 - 6/1k.

(10)

Zou But can this jrp really  
keep up surveillance of the  
great range of cases?

Geo But this partly seeking a channel  
to keep it. Then advised of  
action being considered elsewhere.

Russ : Re (4) . if you struck it or  
say we doesn't have an  
activity is unreal. Our  
words are moderate "encourage  
by all real means".

Zou : seems full as energize program  
promote international trade in F.W.

Russ don't mean this

Geo Agree w. Zou this could be inst.

Zou redrafts.

Wineit / Can we really do this if we catch our  
other wing so long?

Deu

Van Sant has been here

Joe would be helpful if you could give  
details current here in all pretense  
re the FBI. Last statement  
was that usually U.S.C. aid from  
old regime. Has there been  
anything more on this.

Mal I'm making that speech soon. No written  
docs. Inward concern. Some  
specific ~~papers~~ responses I'll try get  
a ~~rt~~ for you.

Tom The redraft on 3. See my draft, three  
pencil. 2.

(9) approximately same

Tom [ The limits on us are due just  
that many items arise as just  
problems & they + CCB act before  
we can. It's not reasonable to  
think we can keep on top all these.

Tom also, sometimes commissions have only ltr directed. + we just don't get the dr.

see pgs #3

Tom #4 Recommendation on a particular job must be up. We minutes available. Do we need 4?

We should be a special liaison to CCB

Russ I tried to reflect idea in your memo that others were working

I think way 3 is revised takes care of #4.

Mal. Lets invite Agric. to the WG

Tom Good

Mrs Yes

Gen invite on this + anything else specific

Tom What WG III or selected mgs?

Mal: Re such agenda items only.

Tom Old, arrange. They were in Geneva WG. I'll get in touch!

13

Zou: Minutes should set out recommended action

Zou I have not focused on earlier part memo. Gus + Russ should agree on that part. Will circulate all revised ~~can~~ draft ~~for~~ circulate for comment. No entry on this draft.  
Phone comments to Gus on phone.

Gus: If we clear this draft where does it go.  
~~Take all written comments~~

Zou Send thru Chmn EC, he can phone EC memos and if EC entry necessary.

Don At early mtg Wk. we'll go on with next job, without writing exec information, we can take up concerns on data available

~~But~~ Russ Has Russ Panel meeting what's going on.

(14)

Ztu  
25X1A9a

OIR + CIA reps should furnish  
[redacted] for W6 a list of

any studies or more fragmentary  
bits they have procured  
over a repres. recent period...

Mat

I want to see more

Ztu

OIR circulates list of  
projects

Mat

Run

We need more of those index.  
Titles don't tell what they are

Ztu

Then OIR/CIA submit present  
up describing info of matters  
widest work? or origin  
✓ info procured or in  
will (last 6 — plus  
next 3 —)

BFC or Tm should

WF

We have many bits + pieces in DCN  
context

Run

This is why I want to have  
Per Panel get to work + see  
who has what everywhere. Also TCA/RSR  
has much.

~~Now~~ ~~Let~~

Tom Let's have that memo for next discussion OIR/PM. - I'll have Chairman Res. Panel (BR Wright) available next wk.

~~Let's~~

Tom :

Yes: I am confused as to when some types activity will fall. What should be looked forward to doing

Tom Raise in EC.

~~Let's~~ ~~back in~~

Yes No.

Tom: Include this in next mtg agenda if you'd raise it. Yes will get John to raise issue whether prob & things...

Yes I'll ask what we all prefer to do...